EXHIBIT A

Ca	se 2:21-cv-02154-GMN-VCF Document 1-1	Filed 12/07/21	Page 2 of 8 Electronically Filed 9/3/2021 8:21 AM
			Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	COMP		Otens, Sum
2	MARCUS A. BERG, ESQ.		Company of the Compan
	Nevada Bar No. 9760 marcus@mossberglv.com		
3	JOHN C. FUNK, ESQ.	CA	SE NO: A-21-840531-0
4	Nevada Bar No. 9255	OA.	Department 2
_	john@mossberglv.com		Dopartment 2.
5	MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS		
6	4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107		
7	Telephone: (702) 222-4555		
<i>'</i>	Facsimile: (702) 222-4556		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	DISTRICT COURT		
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
11	CLARR COUNT 1, NEVADA		
	MARY ANN DURLING,	CASE NO.	
12		DEPT. NO.	
13	Plaintiff,	·	
14	v.		*
15	LOWER HOME OF MEDICAL C	<u>C(</u>	<u>OMPLAINT</u>
	LOWE'S HOME CENTERS, LLC, a Foreign Limited-Liability Company;	· I	
16	JORDAN RAIGOZA, an Individual; DOE		
17	EMPLOYEE; ROE CONTRACTOR; ROE		
. , ,	MAINTENANCE COMPANY; ROE		
18	PAINTING COMPANY; DOES II through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS IV through		
. 19	X, inclusive,		
20		-	
	Defendants.		
21			
22	Plaintiff, MARY ANN DURLING, by and through her attorneys, MARCUS A. BERG,		
23	ESQ., and JOHN C. FUNK, ESQ., of the law firm of MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS, and		
24			
25	for her causes of action against Defendants, and each of them, hereby alleges as follows:		
23	1. That at all times relevant to these proceedings, Plaintiff, MARY ANN DURLING		
26	1. That at all times relevant to these proceedings, Plaintiff, MARY ANN DURLING		
27	(hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), was and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada.		
28	2. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to these		

proceedings, Defendant, LOWE'S HOME CENTERS, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant LOWE'S"), was and is a Foreign Limited-Liability Company, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Nevada, and authorized to do and doing business in Clark County, Nevada, as Lowe's, located at 6050 W. Craig Road, Las Vegas, NV 89130.

- 3. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant, JORDAN RAIGOZA was and is an employee of Defendant, LOWE'S and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada, and was responsible for managing the subject property, including, but not limited to, inspecting and/or maintaining Defendants' premises.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant, DOE EMPLOYEE was and is an unknown employee of Defendant, LOWE'S and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada, and was responsible for managing the subject property, including, but not limited to, inspecting and/or maintaining Defendants' premises.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant, ROE MAINTENANCE COMPANY, was and is an unknown business entity, and was responsible for managing the subject property, including, but not limited to, inspecting, maintaining, and/or repairing Defendants' premises.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant, ROE CONTRACTOR, was and is an unknown business entity, and was responsible for managing the subject property, including, but not limited to, inspecting, maintaining, and/or repairing Defendants' premises.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant, ROE PAINTING COMPANY, was and is an unknown business entity, and was responsible for managing the subject property, including, but not limited to, inspecting, maintaining, and/or repairing Defendants' premises.

- 8. That the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants named herein as DOES II through X are unknown to Plaintiff who, therefore, sues said Defendants by said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants designated as DOES are responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein, specifically for creating and/or allowing a hazardous condition to exist on Defendant's premises, which caused damages proximately to Plaintiff as herein alleged. Plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to amend her Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES II through X when the same have been fully ascertained and to join such Defendants in this action. At all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was acting as the agent, servant, and/or employee of each other Defendant.
- 9. That the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants named herein as ROE CORPORATIONS IV through X are unknown to Plaintiff who, therefore, sues said Defendants by said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants designated as ROE CORPORATIONS are responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein, specifically for creating and/or allowing a hazardous condition to exist on Defendant's premises, which caused damages proximately to Plaintiff as herein alleged. Plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to amend her Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of ROE CORPORATIONS III through X when the same have been fully ascertained and to join such Defendants in this action. At all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was acting as the agent, servant, and/or employee of each other Defendant.
- 10. That on or about April 15, 2020, Plaintiff was a guest on Defendant's premises, located at 6050 W. Craig Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130, commonly known as Lowe's.

- 11. Defendants, and each of them, carelessly and negligently created, owned, controlled, inspected, and/or maintained the premises in an unstable and dangerous manner so as to allow a hazardous condition to exist on Defendant's premises, specifically, an improperly painted and negligently placed concrete bumper that blended in with the parking area where Defendants were aware multiple people would be walking, which caused Plaintiff to trip and fall, and as a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 12. That as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's and/or their employee/agent's negligent acts and/or omissions and/or breach of duty, Defendant's forced Plaintiff to encounter a dangerous condition by creating and/or allowing a trip hazard to exist on its premises, specifically, a concrete bumper where Defendant was aware people would be walking, on Defendant's premises, thereby causing Plaintiff to trip and fall.
- 13. Defendants, and each of them, carelessly and negligently failed to warn of a hazard with respect to its premises, thereby forcing Plaintiff to encounter a dangerous condition on Defendant's premises, resulting in Plaintiff sustaining serious injuries and damages.
- 14. At that same time and place, Defendant, ROE MAINTENANCE COMPANY so carelessly and negligently created, owned, controlled, inspected, and/or maintained its premises in an unstable and dangerous manner so as to allow a hazardous condition to exist on its premises by failing to report, remove, and/or fix the unnecessary and improperly placed concrete parking lot bumper in the parking lot in front of LOWE'S. Defendant, ROE MAINTENANCE COMPANY was aware customers would be walking, and knowing of the hazard, and allowed the hazard to exist and did not warn of the hazard or paint it to make it more visible, which caused the Plaintiff to trip and fall, and as a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 15. At that same time and place, Defendant, ROE CONTRACTOR so carelessly and negligently created, owned, controlled, inspected, and/or maintained its premises in an unstable

and dangerous manner so as to allow a hazardous condition to exist on its premises by failing to report, remove, and/or fix the unnecessary and improperly placed concrete parking lot bumper in the parking lot in front of LOWE'S. Defendant, ROE CONTRACTOR was aware customers would be walking, and knowing of the hazard, did not remove same, which caused the Plaintiff to trip and fall, and as a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff was seriously injured.

- 16. At that same time and place, Defendant, ROE PAINTING COMPANY so carelessly and negligently created, owned, controlled, inspected, and/or maintained its premises in an unstable and dangerous manner so as to allow a hazardous condition to exist on its premises by failing to report, remove, and/or fix the unnecessary and improperly placed concrete parking lot bumper in the parking lot in front of LOWE'S. Defendant, ROE PAINTING COMPANY was aware customers would be walking, and knowing of the hazard, failed to fix it, which caused the Plaintiff to trip and fall, and as a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff was seriously injured.
- 17. All of the injuries to Plaintiff were caused solely by and as a direct result of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants.
- 18. That as a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered a fracture of her arm, wrist, and kneecap, among other injuries, all or some of which conditions may be permanent or disabling in nature, causing general damages in an amount to be determined at trial and is in need of surgery for her kneecap.
- 19. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NRS 4.370(1), as the matter in controversy exceeds Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) exclusive of attorney's fees, interest, and costs.
- 20. That as a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was required, and will be required in the future, to incur expenses for medical care and treatment and costs incidental thereto in an amount to be determined.

- 21. That as a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred substantial out-of-pocket expenses, including, but not limited to, money spent for prescriptions, medication, and uncovered medical expenses.
- 22. That as a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has endured physical and emotional pain and suffering. It is further expected that Plaintiff will be forced to endure future physical and emotional pain associated with her continued medical treatment, recuperation, physical therapy, and limitations associated with her injuries into the foreseeable future.
- 23. That as a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff continues to suffer and will be forced to suffer in the future a significant deterioration in her enjoyment of life and lifestyle.
- 24. That Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein, as well as prejudgment interest.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, expressly reserving the right to amend her Complaint at the time of trial of the actions herein to include all items of damages not yet ascertained, demands judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. General damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00;
- Damages for costs of medical care and treatment and costs incidental thereto, when the same have been fully ascertained;
- 3. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and

Case 2:21-cv-02154-GMN-VCF Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 8 of 8

For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper in the premises. 4. DATED this 27^{h} day of August, 2021 MOSS BERG INJURY LAWYERS By: MARCUS A. BERG, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9760 marcus@mossberglv.com JOHN C. FUNK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9255 john@mossberglv.com 4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Telephone: (702) 222-4555 Facsimile: (702) 222-4556 Attorneys for Plaintiff